

Improving Fixation of a Previously Designed Pediatric Tibial Stent

Evan Lange, Karl Kabarowski, Tyler Max,

Sarah Dicker, Lida Acuña-Huete

Client: Dr. Matthew Halanski, MD

Advisor: Dr. Wan-Ju Li, PhD

Biomedical Engineering Design

University of Wisconsin – Madison

October 4th, 2013



Overview

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Background Information
- 3. Current Devices
- 4. 400/402 Design Overview
- 5. Product Design Specifications
- 6. Design Alternatives
- 7. Design Matrix
- 8. Design Selection
- 9. Future Work
- 10. Acknowledgements
- 11. Questions
- 12. References

Problem Statement

- Tibia fractures are common in children
- Need for a surgically implanted device, which would provide more structural stability and aid in healing of the fracture.
- A previous design team produced a working device, which is held in place by static friction against the canal wall.
 - not fully fixated against the walls of the bone canal, and the friction force of the device is not sufficient to prevent axial rotation within the canal.
- Previous semester's work:
 - Designed pediatric tibial stent
- This semester's focus:
 - Improving fixation of previous semester's design

Background

- 5% of pediatric fractures occur at tibia^[1]
- Tibia is a load bearing bone
 - Correct alignment is essential
- Many bone fractures can be set with a cast or a splint; however, the tibia may require surgery followed by serial casting to repair the injury.



Background

- Differences in child and adult tibia
 - Epiphyseal growth plates at proximal and distal ends of bone
 - Involved in growth spurt during puberty
- Growth plates must be avoided in all surgical procedures for pediatric patients
 - May lead to growth complications and more surgery if disturbed



Current Devices: Rigid Intramedullary Device

Titanium rod

- Rod is rotationally fixed and is further stabilized by lateral screws installed at proximal and distal locations^[8]
- Inserted into the bone at the top passing through the epiphyseal growth plate
 - Cannot be used for pediatric patients



Current Devices: Elastic Nails

Made of titanium

2 elastic nails = six areas of contact meant to provide constant pressure and stabilization for fractured tibia^[4]

- Avoids growth plate
- Optimal function with midbone fracture
- No rotational fixation

• Diameter of elastic nails = 2.5 – 4 mm^[5]



400/402 Design Overview

- Center cable is galvanized steel; outer wires are stainless steel
- End cap and mid-cap
- Fixed at bottom with nail
- Converts tensile force into radial force which stabilizes fracture
- Increases points of contact compared to elastic nails





Product Design Specifications

- Function
 - Improve fixation by limiting axial rotation
- Design Requirements
 - Performance
 - Flexible to enter bone (45° angle)
 - Rigid to stabilize fracture
 - Can be removed after 2-9 months
 - Size
 - Match dimensions of previous semester's design
 - Safety
 - Biocompatible
 - Surgical grade metals
 - Easily sterilized
 - Standards and Specifications
 - FDA guidelines for implants

Design Alternative 1: Mesh Cylinder

- Based on arterial stent
- Weave stainless steel wires through mesh to hold in place and prevent buckling
- When device is expanded, mesh also expands
- Provides increased surface contact with interior of medullary canal



Design Alternative 2: 2-sided Umbrella

- Based on folding
 umbrella design
- Rigid wire attached to galvanized steel cable
 - Prevents displacement and buckling of wires
- Used to increase radial force as device is expanded



Design Alternative 3: Air Balloon

- Inflatable bladder within device attached at end and mid-caps
- After device is expanded, bladder inflated with compressed air
- Prevents buckling of wires and adds to radial force of the device



Design Matrix



Design Selection:

Mesh Cylinder Design

- Easiest to fabricate
- No biocompatability concerns – all metal
- Longest canal-device interface due to surface area increase
- Made with surgical grade stainless steel or tantalum mesh – biocompatible



Future Work

- Obtain previous semester's device
- Order materials for mesh cylinder
- Fabricate mesh cylinder prototype
- Integrate prototype with existing device
- Test integrated device
 - MTS testing of integrated device
 - Static friction testing of integrated device

Acknowledgements

- Dr. Matthew Halanski, MD
- Dr. Wan-Ju Li, PhD
- 400/402 Design Group
 - Taylor Jaraczewski
 - Kyle Jamar
 - Stephen Kernien
 - Lucas Schimmelpfenning
 - Cody Bindl



Questions



References

[1] Mashru, R. P., Herman, M. J., & Pizzutillo, P. D. (2005). Tibial shaft fractures in children and adolescents. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 13(5), 345-352.

[2] http://radiopaedia.org/images/25340

[3] http://www.orthopediatrics.com/docs/Guides/blounts.html

[4] Synthes. 1998. The Titanium Elastic Nail System: Technique Guide. Adapted from <http://www.rcsed.ac.uk/fellows/lvanrensburg/classification/surgtech/ao/manuals/Synthe s%20TENS %20nails.pdf>

[5] He B, Wang J. Plate fixation of paediatric fractures of the distal tibia and fibula. Acta Orthop Belg. 2012 Oct; 78(5): 660-2.

[6] http://www.synthes.com/MediaBin/International%20DATA/036.000.207.pdf

[7] Adapted from http://www.tradeindia.com/fp580819/Titanium-Elastic-Nail.html

[8] Wheeless, Clifford, MD. 2012. Tibial Fractures: Techniques of IM Nailing. Wheeless' Textbook of Orthopaedics.

[9] Lee, Z., Chang, C., Yang, W., Hung, S. (2005). Rush pin fixation versus traction and casting for femoral fracture in children older than seven years. Med. J. 28: 9-15.