# **Model of Pediatric Supracondylar Humerus Fracture**

# Liam Granlund, Maïsha Kasole, Laura Robinson, Micaiah Severe, Megan Baier



## Abstract

The supracondylar humerus fracture is a common elbow fracture in children. There is a need to practice "Closed Reduction and Percutaneous Pinning," which is the appropriate procedure for this fracture. The team created a teaching model for this complex procedure by modifying off-the-shelf models. The bone was fractured, holes for pinning were pre-drilled, the envelope was shaved down, and a patch was placed to obscure the holes. To test the model, the team received qualitative evaluations of the simulation from orthopedic residents. Overall the model is a good first prototype, but requires future work to make it more reusable and realistic.

## **Background and Motivation**

#### Background

- In children the supracondylar area is predisposed to fracture [2]
- The common way to fix Type II & III fractures is the surgical method of closed reduction and percutaneous pinning (CRPP)[3]
- The goal is to minimize neurovascular damage



Figure 1: Correct (left) and incorrect

### Motivation



Figure 2: Types 2 and 3 of the Gartland classification for supracondylar humerus fractures.

- Supracondylar humerus fractures represent 18% of all pediatric fractures [1]
- Residents need a realistic and reusable model to practice the CRPP surgery

Design Criteria	
Criteria	Specification
Functionality	Anatomically accurate
Reusability	Maintains functionality up to 5 years
Appearance	Looks realistic
Ease of Fabrication	Methods are doable under time cons
Materials	Easily accessible
Cost	Within \$250 budget
Safety	Non-flamable

Client: Dr. Pamela Lang, MD, UW-Health, Department of Orthopedics and Rehabilitation Advisor: Dr. Ben Cox, PhD, UW-Madison, Department of Biomedical Engineering

## **Fabrication & Development**

The team decided the most efficient route was to modify an already existing humerus model

#### **Materials**

- SawBones pediatric humerus model: Strong, radiopaque
- Sawbones pediatric tissue envelope
- Band-aid\*: cheap, replaceable patching method to cover the drilling site

\*The team also considered using putty



Figure 3: A picture of the sawbones model used.



Figure 4: A picture of the Tissue Envelope used.

## Final Design: Testing and Results



Figure 10: Final Design prototype.

![](_page_0_Figure_32.jpeg)

Figure 6. Functionality of Surgical Model. Comparison of mean scores for the functionality skin, bone, and fluoroscopy for distinct population groups.

![](_page_0_Figure_38.jpeg)

#### <u>Methods</u>

- Fracturing the bone
- Coping Saw was used for accurate ridge formation
- Drilling pilot holes for proper pin placement
- Cutting patch in the envelope for proper assessment of skill
- Shaving of material in envelope to give model more realistic feel

![](_page_0_Picture_45.jpeg)

Figure 5: A bone model with practice cuts the team used for cut testing

![](_page_0_Figure_47.jpeg)

Figure 7. Learning criteria of Surgical Model. Comparison of mean scores for learning criteria of the closed reduction and perctunatenous pinning procedures for distinct population groups.

![](_page_0_Figure_49.jpeg)

Figure 8. Appearance of Surgical Model. Comparison of mean scores for the appearance of skin and bone for distinct population groups.

#### **Model Achievements:**

- Excellent fluoroscopic visibility
- Great functionality of bone
- Good teaching method for closed reduction
- Low-cost and reusable **Design Evaluation:**
- model

#### **Tissue Envelope**

- Bone

### **Design Process**

The team would like to thank our advisor, Dr. Ben Cox, and our client, Dr. Pamela Lang for their advice and support. The team would also like to acknowledge the TEAMLab and Sawbones for their contribution to the project.

![](_page_0_Picture_73.jpeg)

## Discussion

- Model Issues:
- Rubber periosteum was too weak
- Elbow joint was too stiff
- Foam was too thick
- Fracture location was too high

• Senior medical staff were more generous in their scoring of the

• The client is overall satisfied with this first prototype

## **Future Work**

 Utilize self healing material for patch site • Increase flexibility of the elbow joint and add neurovascular anatomical details

• Add sensor in model to prevent damage to the bone • Move fracture site proximal to the elbow

 Increase sample size and duration of testing Consider 3D printing options

![](_page_0_Picture_88.jpeg)

## Acknowledgements

## References

• [1] Kim, T. and Sponseller, P. (2019). *Pediatric Supracondylar Humerus Fractures*. [online] Available at: https://www.jhandsurg.org/article/S0363-5023(14)00985-X/fulltext [Accessed 7 Oct. 2019]. • [2]V. Kumar and A. Singh, "Fracture Supracondylar Humerus: A Review," Journal of clinical and *diagnostic research : JCDR,* Dec-2016. [Online]. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5296534/. [Accessed: 09-Oct-2019]. • [3] C. Vuillermin, C. May, and J. Kasser, "Closed Reduction and Percutaneous Pinning of Pediatric Supracondylar Humeral Fractures," Jbjs Essential Surgical Techniques. [Online]. Available:

https://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=01709766-201808020-00002. [Accessed: 09-Oct-2019].