Tibial Stent:
Designing a Novel Fixation Device for Pediatric Orthopaedic Tibia Fractures

Midsemester Report

Biomedical Engineering Design 301
Department of Biomedical Engineering
University of Wisconsin – Madison
February 26, 2014

Team Members:
Evan Lange – Team Leader
Karl Kabarowski – Communicator & BSAC
Tyler Max – BPAG
Sarah Dicker – BWIG

Client:
Matthew A. Halanski, MD
University of Wisconsin – Madison
School of Medicine and Public Health
Department of Orthopedics and Rehabilitation

Advisor:
Paul Thompson, Ph.D.
University of Wisconsin – Madison
Department of Biomedical Engineering

Table of Contents

Abstract

Pediatric complete tibia fractures are common and are currently managed non-operatively by casts; however, a surgically implanted device would provide more structural stability and expedite bone healing. Elastic nails are now used to surgically fix such fractures, but do they not provide rotational fixation or sufficient stabilization of non-medial fractures. Last semester we developed a theoretical device comprised of an expanding stainless steel biaxial braided cylinder surrounding a stable centerpiece used to apply a compressive load to the braid. When the compressive force is applied, the braid expands radially to apply pressure to the wall of the intramedullary canal at and around the fracture point. This semester's goal is to optimize this design using new insight and client recommendations. The device must provide stability but be flexible enough for 45-degree implantation into the intramedullary canal of the tibia without disturbing the epiphyseal growth plates. The new design is a Kirschner wire centerpiece with a bottom cap fixed at the end and a free-sliding top cap. A nut above the top cap pushes it down and provides the compressive load to expand the braid, which is connected between the two caps. Future work includes fabrication followed by three-point bend testing of a 6-ply fiberglass cast, elastic nails implanted into a fractured ovine tibia, and the prototype implanted in a fractured ovine tibia. Additionally, a tool that can be used to turn the nut while the device is inside of the bone must be developed this semester to make the design fully implementable.

Background

Bone fractures occur while under stress or force, and result in loss of function due to the anatomical disconnect of the bone structure. Breaks of many types require proper fixation and realignment to ensure that the patient recovers load-bearing capability and full range of motion. The general process to full recovery involves fracture reduction and realignment, immobilization, and potentially physical therapy. Realigning bone fractures is crucial for successful healing because without proper alignment the bones may skew during healing leading to corrective surgeries and longer rehabilitation time. After alignment is complete, the fractured bones must be immobilized for callus formation.[1] Several methods to correct the incurred bone fractures include splinting, casting, surgery, or combinations of the three.[2]

Tibia fractures account for 5 percent of all pediatric bone fractures, and due to the anatomy of the tibia during growth and development, these fractures are more difficult to treat in children than many other orthopedic fractures.[3] In addition to bearing force during walking, running, and standing, the tibia also plays a major role in growth and development as well as overall structure and stability.[3,4] Epiphyseal growth plates reside at the proximal and distal ends of the tibia. As shown in **Figure 1**, the adult epiphyseal growth plate hardens to form an epiphyseal line making the bone stronger, while in pediatric tibias it is not fused and more susceptible to fracture.[6] The growth plates are comprised of four different zones: resting, proliferative, hypertrophic, and spongiosa.[7] All of these zones are essential for proper bone growth. Any disturbance to these growth plates prior to fusion could result in uneven growth, requiring corrective surgeries. While fractures in adults are usually aligned and fixated by means of castings and splints, pediatric tibia fractures require more inventive methods for stabilization and immobilization.



Figure 1: Diagram showing location of epiphyseal growth plates in a pediatric tibia and fusion of the growth plate in the adult tibia[5]

Management of tibia fractures also has an economic impact. In 2005, the health industry had average associated costs for operative management by intramedullary nailing of $3,365, for operative management by non-intramedullary nailing of $5,041, and for casting alone of $5,017. Societally, on average it costs $12,449 for operative management by intramedullary nailing, $15,571 for operative management by non-intramedullary nailing and $17,343 for casting alone.[8] The average time of healing for closed tibia fractures is between eight and twelve weeks, depending on wound severity and risk of infection.[9] Another component of the economic impact of tibia fractures is leaves-of-absence from work in adults, or parents missing work to care for injured children. By designing a novel fixation device to stabilize these fractures during healing, we hope to minimize hospital visits and time off work, expedite healing, and help reintegrate patients suffering from tibia fractures back into society as quickly as possible.

Problem Statement

Tibia fractures are common in children, and these injuries are currently managed nonoperatively using casts; however, a surgically implanted device would provide more structural stability and aid the healing of the fracture. Adult patients with this injury typically have a rigid intramedullary device implanted into their tibia bone. Unfortunately, these implants cannot be used in pediatric patients due to the presence of growth plates at the implantation site. A previous design team produced a working device that can enter the medullary canal through a hole in the side of the bone and then expand outward to stabilize the fracture, held in place by static friction against the canal wall. This device is flexible enough to fit into the canal, yet rigid enough to maintain fracture reduction, can be secured in place with screws, and can be removed from the canal when desired; however, the device is not fully fixated against the walls of the bone canal, and the friction force of the device is not sufficient to prevent axial rotation within the canal. This rotation can lead to device failure resulting in unnecessary pain for the patient and extra surgery to correct the issue. Last semester, our team designed a theoretical device consisting of a threaded segmented centerpiece inside of a metal biaxial braid. When the centerpiece is rotated, the braid experiences a compressive load, which causes it to expand radially. This radial expansion would ultimately provide the force to stabilize the fracture; however, the current design is not ideal, and the client has recommended improvements for this design.

The goal of this semester is to improve the design from last semester by optimizing the centerpiece design and the braid/cap interface, which will give us the ability to build and test a prototype, and to develop a novel tool that can rotate the centerpiece when the implant is placed into a bone.

Current Devices

Intra-medullary Devices

Intramedullary rods are used for the stabilization and fixation of adult tibia fractures. To access the intramedullary canal of the tibia, an incision is made above the patellar tendon. A titanium intramedullary rod is inserted after a guide wire is placed inside the canal. Once the rod is in place, locking screws are inserted through the proximal and distal ends to secure it in place.[10] An implanted intramedullary rod is shown in **Figure 2**. Due to the epiphyseal growth plates, intramedullary rods cannot be used in pediatric tibia fractures. In a mature tibia, these epiphyseal growth plates are fused, and therefore are not an issue.

Figure 2: Rigid intra-medullary device implanted in fractured adult femur (A) aiding in alignment for proper healing (B)[11]

Elastic Nails

Elastic nails are a method of fixing fractures that avoids the epiphyseal growth plates in pediatric patients.[12] Approximately 5 percent of pediatric tibia fractures are currently treated using elastic nails because casting alone is insufficient to facilitate proper healing. As shown in **Figure 3**, two titanium nails, approximately 2.5 to 4 mm in diameter, are inserted from medial and lateral entry points at the proximal tibia in the distal metaphysis.[6] The traction forces are transformed into compression forces at the fracture by the two bent nails crossing each other and each providing three points of fixation within the medullary canal.[13] This leads to a total of six points of fixation for the fracture.



Figure 3: Diagram showing location of elastic nails in a femur. The locations are similar in the tibia. Elastic nails avoid contact with the growth plates.[6]

Although this method is currently used in pediatric tibia fractures, it works best for medial fractures because the elastic nails have a point of contact with the canal near this region; however, fractures at the distal and proximal ends of the bone are not optimally stabilized by elastic nails. This method has been proven to work effectively regardless of the type of fracture; however, it lacks support and fixation for non-midline fractures and allows for rotation within the tibia canal.[13]

Design Requirements

There are a number of important design requirements that must be considered in order to effectively optimize the previously designed tibial stent. Most importantly, the optimizations should improve the stent's ability to provide support and stability throughout the fractured area in the bone. This is important to ensure consistent alignment of the bone for the duration of the healing process, which can take anywhere between two and nine months. Complications could lead to improper healing and additional surgery. To accomplish this fixation, the modification must limit axial rotation of the device within the medullary canal.

Consistent with previous semester's work on this project, the device must be implantable at a location of the tibia that avoids the growth plates. Considering the fact that current devices used in pediatric tibia fractures use the same point of entry, this will keep some aspects of the surgical procedure consistent. The modified stent must be flexible enough to enter the medullary canal through a 7mm diameter hole drilled at a 45˚ angle yet rigid enough to stabilize the fracture point. Preferably, the device's rigidity will be sufficient enough to be used in conjunction with minimal post-operative casting or elimination of post-operative casting. This would lead to a shorter recovery time, and enable the patients to return to daily life at a quicker rate.

Finally, all materials and components must be biocompatible and comply with all FDA guidelines regarding surgical implants.

Fall 2013 Design

Braided Cylinder

A stainless steel biaxial braided cylinder was used last semester to provide the primary means of fracture stabilization and axial fixation of the device. When placed under a compressive load, this braided cylinder expands radially between the top and bottom cap. In this previous design, the ends of the braided cylinder slide into a concentric circular etch on the underside of both the top and bottom cap and are fixed by a weld. This connection between the bottom cap and braid allows the rotational restriction of the bottom cap (provided by the screw) to also prevent rotation of braided cylinder. When a compressive load is applied so that the braided cylinder expands to the diameter of the intramedullary canal, the braid will begin to apply radial force against the bone leading to increased pressure at the fracture point.

Carjack Centerpiece

A viable centerpiece was designed to work specifically with the braided cylinder. The 3mm diameter segmented carjack centerpiece consists of several small, threaded, stainless steel segments that are connected together by joints; a small pin holds each of these joints together (**Figure 4a** & **b**). These joints allow each segment to bend at least 45˚ from the axis of the device, and the length of the segments allows each segment to sequentially enter the canal. This system allows the centerpiece to enter the canal through a hole 7mm in diameter drilled into the bone at a 45˚ angle, yet maintain enough rigidity, once inside the canal, to support the device. The first and last segments of the centerpiece have slight modifications to facilitate the operation of the device. The top segment of the centerpiece has a built-in screw head so that it can be twisted using a standard flathead screwdriver (**Figure 4c**). Conversely, the bottom segment has an end plate that holds the bottom cap in place on the centerpiece (**Figure 4d**).



Figure 4: SolidWorks renderings of a) general threaded centerpiece segment; b) assembly of centerpiece segments with joints with top and bottom caps on the ends of the assembly; c) top segment of centerpiece allowing for twisting by screwdriver; d) bottom segment of centerpiece with endplate to hold the bottom cap on the centerpiece.

For this centerpiece to work, the top and bottom cap are independently designed. The bottom cap is not threaded and exhibits free lateral motion along the centerpiece restricted only by the end plate incorporated on the final threaded segment (**Figure 5a**). It also has two hooks that protrude over the end plate. When implanted, a screw is inserted through the bone passing through the hooks. This fixes the lateral position of the device and also prevents the bottom cap from rotating. In contrast to the bottom

cap, the top cap is threaded to match the segments of the centerpiece and is the main source of the compressive force on the braid (**Figure 5b**).

When the centerpiece is twisted, the rotational restriction of the top cap causes it to move down the threaded segments toward the bottom cap. This compresses the braided cylinder and induces its radial expansion. Once the braid contacts the canal wall, as more force is applied by twisting the centerpiece, the braid corrects any buckling that may have occurred and establishes uniform contact. As force continues to be applied, it is translated directly into a pressure pushing outward in all directions on the intramedullary canal wall at the fracture point thereby stabilizing the fracture.



Figure 5: SolidWorks renderings of a) free sliding bottom cap with hooks for fixation; b) threaded top cap that supplies compressive load when centerpiece is twisted by moving down the centerpiece toward the end cap.

While this design was a good start to accomplishing the desired goal while concurring with the necessary design requirements, it does introduce a number of complication, the first of which deals with the maximum tensile stress the centerpiece can handle before failure. Because the centerpiece has such a small diameter it does not allow for the joint design to be very big. The small amount of material that makes up the joints does not allow the design to have optimum strength, ultimately hindering the efforts to stabilize the fracture. This centerpiece is also somewhat complex. It is made up of several rather small pieces, which is never ideal when implementing something into the human body. Lastly, this design requires the user to spin the centerpiece itself in order to active the device and expand the braided cylinder. This will introduce an excessive amount of torsional strain on the jointed segments and possibly contribute to the mode of failure of the device.

Design Alternatives – Centerpiece Optimization

K-wire Centerpiece

Due to concerns regarding the reliability and functionality of the carjack centerpiece design, further optimizations were developed to specifically address issues with this first design. The first of these optimizations utilizes a Kirschner wire (K-wire) as the centerpiece to drive the caps together and provide the compressive load on the braid. A K-wire is a threaded rod made of stainless steel 309 that has a diameter specifically selected to lend the rod a certain degree of flexibility (**Figure 6**). These rods are typically used to temporarily stabilize fractures by

Figure 6: Kirschner wire typically used in surgical applications. This K-wire is only partially threaded; the K-wire used as a centerpiece for this design would be fully threaded.[14]

holding the bone fragments together. Diameters of K-wires typically range from 0.7mm to 1.6mm, and the flexibility of each wire is inversely related to its diameter.[15] For this design the caps would simply be attached to the K-wire, which would be flexible enough to maneuver through the hole 7mm in diameter drilled into the proximal end of the bone at a 45˚ angle; however, to address the torsional loading concerns of the previous carjack design, the method of operation and the connection of the caps to the K-wire were altered. Rather than rotationally fixing the bottom cap and twisting the centerpiece to drive the top cap downward as in the carjack design, the bottom cap in this design would be fixed to the K-wire, and the top cap would be free sliding. A nut above this free-sliding top cap would supply the downward motion when it is twisted, thereby pushing the top cap downward and supplying the compressive force to expand the braid and apply pressure to the fracture point.

This design has a major advantage over the other designs in that the centerpiece is comprised of one solid piece, which would inevitably increase the torsional and tensile strength of the overall device relative to other options; however, the fact that the K-wire is one solid piece also poses a potential disadvantage since the added overall rigidity may make the device more difficult to implant and remove during surgery.

Threaded Segments on Wire

The final design combines elements of both the carjack and K-wire designs with the goal of creating a design that integrates the advantages of each. This design would use the same threaded segments of the carjack design except rather than connecting the segments with joints, the segments would be drilled along the cylindrical axis and then strung along a stainless steel wire like beads on a string. These segments would then be welded into position on the wire to prevent translocation along the wire during device operation (**Figure 7**). To supply the compressive force, this design would utilize the same method for attaching the caps to the centerpiece as the K-wire design. A fixed bottom cap and a free-sliding top cap would be driven together by twisting a nut above the free-sliding top cap. This would supply the compressive force on the braid leading to expansion.



Figure 7: Schematic representation of threaded segments design. The threaded segments from the carjack design are strung along a stainless steel wire.

This design successfully combines the advantages of the first two designs. The threaded segments facilitate easy implantation and removal compared to the solid K-wire design, and the solid wire at the center of the device provides more tensile strength compared to the jointed segments; however, this device relies on the ability to twist the nut in order to deliver the compressive load, and as the braid begins to apply pressure to the intramedullary canal wall at the fracture point, friction in the threads of the nut will make twisting the nut more difficult. Flexible stainless steel wire has very little resistance to torsional loading and tends to curl in the center when loaded in excess. This fact may impede the ability of this optimized design to successfully integrate into the mechanism of operation for the current design.

Design Matrix – Centerpiece Optimization

To evaluate the carjack design, K-wire design, and threaded segment design, a design matrix was generated using weighted parameters (**Table 1**). Each design was given a score between 1 and 5 with 1 indicating "poor," 2 indicating "average," 3 indicating "good," 4 indicating "great," and 5 indicating "exceptional." Following design scoring, the scores for each category were normalized to the weight leading to a maximum possible score of 100.

Parameters (Weight)	Last Semester's Design 		K-Wire 		Piano Wire with Segmented Threads 	
Tensile Strength (30)	2	12	5	30	3	18
Ease of Implantation and Removal (25)	4	20	2	10	5	25
Client Preference (20)	2	8	5	20	3	12
Fabrication (15)	2	6	5	15	4	12
Cost (10)	1	2	5	10	4	8
Total (100)	**48**		**85**		**75**	

Table 1: Design matrix for centerpiece optimization. Designs evaluated in the matrix are the design from last semester, which is the base for the optimizations, the K-wire centerpiece design, and the segmented threads on a wire centerpiece design.

The parameters considered in this matrix are tensile strength, ease of implantation and removal, client preference, fabrication, and cost. Tensile strength was given the highest weight because the tensile strength of the centerpiece may be the determinant in how much pressure can be applied to the canal, which is directly related to the efficacy of the device. The second parameter was ease of implantation and removal. It is critical that this device be relatively simple to use in the operating room and that potential complications are minimized since this is a medical implant. The next parameter is client preference and, while we recognize that the client has a great deal of experience in the field and we take this into consideration, it should not have an overwhelming influence on the outcome of the design matrix. Finally, the last two categories are ease of fabrication and cost. Because our client has provided a very generous budget for this project, all components of the device will be purchased from an independent manufacturing firm and, while the production cost of the device is relevant for scale-up, it is not a major concern for this project.

Carjack Centerpiece

The tensile strength of the carjack centerpiece design is thought to be very low due to the information garnered from SolidWorks simulations last semester (unpublished data) and the segmented nature of the design. This device is predicted to be easily implanted into the canal if designed correctly due to the joints allowing bending of the device for entry; however, it does not have the same degree of freedom as the segmented threads design because the joints are unidirectional. The client is apprehensive of this design due to its innate complexity and the fact that the centerpiece is not one solid piece. Additionally, since the device is small and complex, the ease of fabrication is low and the cost is high, so this design is weighted low for both of these parameters.

K-wire Centerpiece

The tensile strength of the K-wire design is very high due to the fact that the device is one solid stainless steel piece; however, implanting and removing the device could be quite challenging as well due to the K-wire's limited flexibility. Because this design results in the centerpiece of the device being one solid piece, our client highly approves of this design. Finally, because the device is commercially available, fabrication is a non-issue, and the cost is relatively low compared to fabrication costs for the other two designs.

Segmented Threads on Wire

The tensile strength of the segmented threads design is between that of the K-wire, because the wire is flexible and thinner, and that of the carjack centerpiece, because the wire is all one piece rather than jointed segments. This device is predicted to be the easiest out of all three to implant and remove from the bone canal because the wire facilitates bending of the linker between the segments in any direction thereby easing implantation and removal. While the client prefers this design to the carjack centerpiece, because it has a component that is all one piece, he also has concerns about the complexity of the design and would prefer something simpler. Finally this device is relatively easy to fabricate once the segments are created and the projected cost is relatively low because of the wire is commercially available.

Summary

Ultimately, the K-wire design was the highest scoring design in this matrix due to its high tensile and torsional strength and the client's strong preference for this design as well as its low cost and ease of fabrication. The only category in which the K-wire design did not have the highest score was the ease of implantation and removal; however, by selecting the diameter of the K-wire carefully, concerns regarding the balance between flexibility and strength will be minimized.

Design Alternatives – Braid/Cap Interface Optimization

The braid mesh interface is a key component to the success of the design, and different modes of connection must be evaluated when developing ideas as how to best approach fabrication of the prototype. Failure at this juncture could result in complications for the patient and may be economically taxing for the manufacturer.



Figure 8: Design alternatives for braid/cap interface. a) braid welded to an etch in the cap. b) braid welded to the underside of the cap. c) braid welded to the side of the cap.

The first design is the most complex. A circular groove is etched to half the height of the cap. The inner and outer diameters of the etch are concentric with the outer circumference of the cap. These etches are where the ends of the braided cylinder are placed before being welded to the caps (**Figure 8a**). This design was originally developed last semester. The second design has the ends of the braided cylinder pulled outward and slightly inverted. Each end of the braid is then laid flat on the underside of a cap and welded down (**Figure 8b**). For the third and final approach the end of the braided cylinder encompasses the cap and is welded directly to the side of the cap (**Figure 8c**). This is the simplest design of the three. For this design, the braided cylinder is directed nearly straight up the cap.

Design Matrix – Braid/Cap Interface Optimization

The development of the braid cap interface design matrix follows the same procedure as explained for the previous design matrix. The designs were given a score from 1 to 5 and then normalized to the weight of each parameter with a possible maximum score of 100.

Parameters (Weight)	Braid welded inside caps		Braid welded to underside of caps		Braid welded to side of caps	
						
Risk of inversion (40)	2	16	5	40	4	32
Stress on Weld (40)	5	40	4	32	2	16
Fabrication (10)	1	2	3	6	4	8
Cost (10)	2	4	5	10	5	10
Total (100)	**62**		**88**		**66**	

Table 2: Design matrix for braid/cap interface optimization. Designs evaluated in the matrix are welding the braid into an etch in the cap, welding the braid to the underside of the cap, and welding the braid to the side of the cap.

In the design matrix, the first parameter considered was the risk of inversion, or the chance of the cap pulling through and inverting the braid under large loads. Stress on the weld was the next parameter considered. Both of these parameters were given a weight of 40 because these are properties that influence the strength and reliability of the finished product, while the other two parameters for this design matrix, ease of fabrication and cost, are manufacturing properties and are less important for the functionality of the design. Fabrication and cost were given an equal weight of 10.

Weld in Etch of Cap

In this first design, the risk of inversion is believed to be high, due to the outward curvature of the braided cylinder near the cap, thereby facilitating movement of the caps towards one another. For this reason, the risk of inversion for this design was given a low score. This design's strength is in the stress put on the weld, so it was given an exceptional grading. The stress on the weld of this design is very low compared to the other designs because all the force being applied to the braided cylinder is being transferred through the cap rather than the weld. Although this is very effective for removing stress from the weld point, the removal of material may compromise the strength of the cap. The presence of the etch in the cap also complicates the fabrication of the caps and makes welding the braided cylinder to the cap more difficult. As a result of this more complex fabrication procedure, the manufacturing cost of the caps in this design is more expensive relative to the other designs. This is the reason why both fabrication and cost were each given low scores.

Weld to Underside of Cap

This second design has the lowest risk of inversion due to the inward bending of the braid near the cap. The braid has a high stress concentration at this curve, but this curve will prevent the caps from pulling through and inverting the braid. The stress on the weld is also low for this design. The majority of the force being applied to the braided cylinder is travelling through the cap at the braid/cap interface. The force that is not directly relayed from the cap to the braid is distributed along the braid surface and causes a small stress at the weld. This stress is larger than in the etched design, but smaller than the third design where the braid is fastened to the side of the cap. Fabrication of this design is considerably easier than the first design. The cap is not as complex to fabricate without the etch, present in the first design; however there is still a small amount of space to weld the braid to the cap. Finally, cost of this design is relatively low relative to the budget for this project.

Weld to Side of Cap

As stated above, the braided cylinder in the third design is directed nearly straight up the cap. With no inward bend similar to the second design there is a higher likelihood that the cap will invert the braid; however, there is no outward bend to promote the caps inversion as in the first design. The major disadvantage of this design is the high stress put on the weld. All the force being applied by the braided cylinder is being transferred through the weld. Because the caps are very small and welding will be difficult it is not expected that the weld will have the same strength as the stainless steel caps. The fabrication of this design would be the easiest because there is more area on the cap to weld the braid, and the welding points are more accessible to the welder compared to the other two designs. Finally the cost of this design will be the same as for the second design, and thus it received the same score.

Summary

In conclusion, the second design (braid welded to underside of the cap) scored highest in the design matrix mainly due to its inherent resistance to inversion and relatively low stress applied to the weld.

Final Design

The final design consists of a K-wire centerpiece (threaded stainless steel) with a bottom cap fixed to one end of the K-wire and a top cap that is not threaded facilitating free lateral motion. Both the top cap and bottom cap are welded (underside of the caps) to the ends of the braided cylinder (**Figure 9**). A threaded nut is placed above the top cap to push the top cap towards the bottom cap generating a compressive force on the stainless steel biaxial braided cylinder. This causes the braided cylinder to expand outward, providing a radial force. This radial force will generate a pressure inside the intramedullary canal, which will stabilize the fracture until healing is complete.



Figure 9: Method of attaching braided cylinder to each cap

Future Work

The first step in continuing this project is to perform preliminary testing on the braided cylinder to determine the expansion properties such as the diameter when fully compressed and overall compressive strength. These values give a better understanding of the allowable forces that can be exerted on the braided structure without deformation. Next, performing 3-point bend tests on K-wires of various diameters will provide individual stress-strain curves, and the information needed to choose a K-wire to use as a centerpiece with the right balance of strength and flexibility. The 6-ply fiberglass cast and elastic nails implanted in a fractured ovine tibia will also be tested using a 3-point bend test to obtain their bending stiffnesses and use the two stiffness values will be used as goals for the strength of the final prototype implanted in an ovine tibia.

Due to the small dimensions of the device, the COE Student Shop lacks the tools to fabricate the final design accurately and efficiently. Therefore, a small-scale fabrication firm will be contacted to fabricate the device while the design team continues working on designing a tool to twist the nut down the centerpiece for implantation and removal. Once the prototype is completed, 3-point-bend tests will be conducted on the prototype implanted in a fractured ovine tibia in order to determine the bending stiffness and mode of failure. The bending stiffness of the prototype will be compared to the bending stiffness of the 6-ply casts and elastic nails to ultimately determine whether the design is successful.

References

[1] Merloz, P. (2011). Macroscopic and microscopic process of long bone fracture healing. *Osteoporos Int* 22:1999-2001.

[2] Srivastava, A.K., Mehlman, C.T., Wall, E.J., Do, T.T. (2008). Elastic stable intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures in children. *J Pediatr Orthop* 28:152-158.

[3] He B, Wang J. (2012) Plate fixation of paediatric fractures of the distal tibia and fibula. *Acta Orthop Belg*. 78(5): 660-2.

[4]Rockwood, C.A.,Wilkins, K.E., Beaty, J.H., Kasser, J.R. Rockwood and Wilkins' fractures in children.5thed.Philadelphia:LippincoRWilliams&Wilkins,2001.

[5] A Patient's Guide to Blount's Disease in Children and Adolescents. (n.d.). A Patient's Guide to Blount's Disease in Children and Adolescents. Retrieved September 20, 2013, from http://www.orthopediatrics.com/docs/Guides/blounts.html

[6] Synthes. (1998). The Titanium Elastic Nail System: Technique Guide. Adapted from <http://www.rcsed.ac.uk/fellows/lvanrensburg/classification/surgtech/ao/manuals/Synthe s%20TENS%20nails.pdf>

[7] Brighton, C.T. (nd). Normal Bone Formation: Epiphyseal Bone Formation. http://cal.vet.upenn.edu/projects/saortho/chapter_02/02mast.htm

[8] Busse, Jason W., Mohit Bhandari, Sheila Sprague, Ana P. Johnson-Masotti, and Amiram Gafni. "An Economic Analysis of Management Strategies for Closed and Open Grade I Tibial Shaft Fractures." *Acta Orthopaedica* 76.5 (2005): 705-12.

[9] Marshu, Rakesh P., MD, Martin J. Herman, MD, and Peter D. Pizzutillo, MD. "Tibial Shaft Fractures in Children and Adolescents." *Journal of American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* 13.5 (2005): 345-52.

[10] Wheeless, Clifford, MD. (2012). Tibial Fractures: Techniques of IM Nailing. Wheeless‘ Textbook of Orthopaedics.

[11] Lee, Z., Chang, C., Yang, W., Hung, S. (2005). Rush pin fixation versus traction and casting for femoral fracture in children older than seven years. Med. J. 28: 9-15.

[12] Parikh, S.N., Nathan, S.T., Priola, M.J., Eismann, E.A. (2013). Elastic nailing for pediatric subtrochanteric and supracondylar femur fractures.

[13] O’Brien, T., Weisman, D.S., Ronchetti, P., Piller, C.P., Maloney, M.(2004). Flexible titanium nailing for the treatment of the unstable pediatric tibial fracture. *J Pediatr Orthop* 24:601-609.

[14] Disposable Instrument. (nd). Products – Steinman pins. http://www.disposableinstrument.com/steinman.php

[15] Delta Surgical Instruments. (nd). Kirschner wire (K-wire). http://www.deltasurgicalinstruments.com/Catalog/K-Wire.pdf

Appendix

Product Design Specifications

Client: Dr. Matthew Halanski, MD

Advisor: Dr. Paul Thompson, PhD

Team: Karl Kabarowski, Evan Lange, Tyler Max, Sarah Dicker

Function:
Rigid intramedullary devices have been used in adults with complete fractures of the bone; however, this method cannot be used in pediatric patients due to the presence of epiphyseal growth plates at either end of the bone. Therefore the purpose of this project is to design and fabricate a device similar to a rigid intramedullary device which can be used in pediatric patients. A previous design team developed a device that is flexible enough to be inserted into the bone at a 45˚ angle, yet can be made rigid enough to provide adequate structural support to the bone. This semester's work will center around improving the fixation properties of this existing device specifically focusing on limiting axial rotation of the device within the medullary canal without negatively affecting radial force and flexibility properties.

Client Requirements
- No axial rotation or lateral movement after implantation in canal
- Flexible enough to be inserted into the bone at a 45˚ angle
- Rigid enough to stabilize fracture
- All components of design must be biocompatible/hemocompatible

Design Requirements
1. Physical and Operational Characteristics
    a. Performance Requirements: The device must have a narrow flexible state, and a rigid expanded state. The flexible state must be able to fit through a 7mm hole drilled at the proximal end of the tibia at a 45˚ angle. In the expanded state, the device must be able to be fixed in the tibia bone canal and handle all mechanical forces normally experienced by a casted limb. The device must also be able to compress back to the flexible state for easy surgical removal after the fracture is healed.

    b. *Safety:* This device must be able to be sterilized easily, should be made of biocompatible materials, and should not plastically deform or fail while inside the tibial canal of the patient.

    c. *Accuracy/Reliability:* This device must be very reliable, as it will be implanted into a patient to assist with bone fracture healing.

    d. *Life in Service:* The device must to be able withstand implantation lasting anywhere from 2 to 9 months.

e. *Shelf Life:* The device should have as infinite shelf life if kept in place and not tampered with before surgical implantation.

f. *Operating Environment:* The device will be inserted inside the medullary canal of the tibia, which is normally full of fat and blood; however, this is not a concern as the canal is emptied as part of the surgical procedure. In addition, the inside of the ends of the canal are soft bone tissue, while the tissue near the midpoint of the bone is rough and hard. This device will be used primarily near the midpoint of the tibia since this is the place where complete fractures are most common.

g. *Ergonomics:* The device should be intuitive for a trained surgeon to use, and should be designed to maximize the ease of implantation. The device must also be able to be arranged with the other tools of the surgical set up to provide intuitive placement to avoid confusing the surgeon, which could lead to error or complications.

h. *Size:* The device must be cylindrical in shape, no wider than 7 mm, and 115 mm long to match the previous design.

i. *Weight Materials:* The device weight should be kept to a minimum. With the current design, total weight should not be a problem and due to its very small size, the weight of the materials will not have a significant effect on leg function and motion.

j. *Aesthetics:* There are no aesthetic requirements for this device because it is an implant. Function takes precedence to form.

2. *Production Characteristics*
    a. Quantity: There was no requested quantity of devices, we would like to be able to fabricate at mass quantities if possible.
    b. Target Product Cost: For this project we have been given a budget of $4,500 but we would like to keep the total fabrication cost of the device to under $500.
3. *Miscellaneous*
    a. Standards and Specifications: The device must comply with FDA standards and specifications for implantable medical devices.
    b. Customer: Dr. Matthew Halanski, Department of Orthopedics, UW Health is hoping this will eventually be a commercial product that other orthopaedic surgeons and their respective hospitals will use for their pediatric patients. The highest priority is the safety of the patients, both the surgeon and the patient must be comfortable using the device to help heal bone fractures in pediatric patients. Inability to convince the patient that the device is reliable would result in target patient rejection of the design.

c. Patient Related Concerns: There have not been any patient-related concerns that have been brought to our attention.
d. Competition: Current designs include elastic nails, which have few points of contact with the bone and hence little fixation is seen anywhere other than points at the top, middle and bottom of bone. In addition, adult patients may undergo surgery in which an intramedullary rod is implanted through the top of the bone, through the growth plate, and then screwed in place once in the tibial canal.

Semester Timeline

Task	*January*	*February*				*March*					*April*				*May*	
	31	7	14	21	28	7	14	21	28	31	4	11	18	25	2	9
Groundwork																
Set Meeting Time	X	X														
Brainstorming	X	X	X	X												
ECB 2005 Access	X	X	X	X												
Testing																
Cast Material																
Braided Structure																
Prototyping																
Order Materials																
Build Prototype																
Test Prototype																
Deliverables																
Progress Reports	X	X	X	X												
Notebooks	X	X	X	X												
PDS	X	X	X	X												
Midsemester Presentation				X												
Midsemester Report				X												
Final Poster																
Final Report																
Meetings																
Advisor Meeting	X	X	X	X												
Team Meeting	X	X	X	X												
Client Meeting			X													
Website																
Update	X	X	X	X												


90.00°
Ø.13780
R.10177
R.07815
.02362
Ø.23622
R.10177
R.07815
.39370
.16074
Ø.23622
Ø.21654
Ø.13780
Ø.15748
.02959
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR: MACH ± BEND ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
FINISH
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING
NAME
DATE
DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.
Q.A.
COMMENTS:
TITLE:
SIZE
DWG. NO.
REV
EndCapDrawing
SCALE: 1:1
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1
NEXT ASSY
USED ON
APPLICATION
PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE>. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE> IS PROHIBITED.
5
4
3
2
1


Without Thread
.09843
With Thread
.11811
Threaded Cylinder
TRUE R.01969
.05898
.07326
.03622
.06890
.05898
TRUE R.01969
.01929
.03622
.01929
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR: MACH ± BEND ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
FINISH
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING
NAME
DATE
DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.
Q.A.
COMMENTS:
TITLE:
SIZE
DWG. NO.
REV
SCALE: 4:1
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1
NEXT ASSY
USED ON
APPLICATION
PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE>. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE> IS PROHIBITED.
RigidRodSegmentDrawing
5
4
3
2
1


With Thread
TRUE R.11811
Without Thread
<MOD-DIAM>.09843
.03622
.37402
.05898
TRUE R.01969
.05906
<MOD-DIAM>.12780
<MOD-DIAM>.12780
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
NAME
DATE
DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR
MFG APPR
Q A
COMMENTS:
TITLE:
PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL
MATERIAL
FINISH
NEXT ASSY
USED ON
APPLICATION
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING
SIZE
DWG. NO.
REV
SCALE: 4:1
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1
Rigid Rod Segment End Drawing


Threaded Hole
Ø.23622
.01969
.11811 diameter hole before thread
Diameter of arc
.01969
Ø.23622
R.07874
R.10000
.39370
.19685
.02953
0.11811 diameter hole before thread
Ø.23622
Ø.21654
Ø.15748
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR: MACH ± BEND ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
FINISH
DO NOT SCALE DRAWING
NAME
DATE
DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.
Q.A.
COMMENTS:
TITLE:
SIZE
DWG. NO.
REV
SCALE: 1:1
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1
NEXT ASSY
USED ON
APPLICATION
PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING IS THE SOLE PROPERTY OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE>. ANY REPRODUCTION IN PART OR AS A WHOLE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF <INSERT COMPANY NAME HERE> IS PROHIBITED.
MidCapDrawing