

Wireless Stethoscope for Anesthesiologists

Spring 2013

Client: Dr. Scott Springman
Advisor: John Webster

Team Leader: Charlie Rodenkirk
Communicator: Alex Eaton
BSAC: Lucas Haug
BWIG: Yue Yin

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	3
Background.....	3
Stethoscope.....	3
Electronic Stethoscope.....	3
Frequencies in Hospitals.....	4
Previous Designs.....	4
Design Requirements.....	4
Funding.....	5
Final Design.....	5
Design Alternatives.....	7
Design Matrix.....	8
Future Work.....	10
References.....	11
APPENDIX.....	12

Abstract

Anesthesia providers need to listen to patients' heart & breath sounds during anesthesia care. Manual stethoscopes are the standard method but only allow one provider to listen at a time and can be uncomfortable over an extended time. The manual method is hard to position and secure on the patient's skin. There already exists a rather expensive Bluetooth system, and some old do-it yourself FM wireless systems, but it would be simpler and far less expensive to have a box that has a speaker for more than one person needs to listen, and a headphone jack for private listening. Pediatric anesthesiologists commonly want to be able to compare breath sounds from the right and left chest, so a two microphone capable system is preferred. Resistance to high-frequency electrical signals from the electrosurgery unit is required.

Background

The Stethoscope

The stethoscope has been a crucial medical tool for the past 200 years, allowing doctors to listen to sounds of the heart, lungs, and abdomen. Its invention is attributed to a French physician, Rene Laennec, in 1816, who originally used a rolled up piece of paper to listen to a patient's heart [1]. Since then, the stethoscope has been greatly refined, but the basic acoustic principles have remained the same. Currently, two different types of stethoscopes are available on the market: acoustic and electronic.

The modern acoustic stethoscope uses a bell and diaphragm chest piece with rubber tubing leading to the two earpieces. The bell captures lower frequency sounds, while the diaphragm, a small plastic disc, captures higher frequencies [2]. The acoustic pressure captured by the chest piece is then transmitted to the earpieces through the flexible rubber tubing. Although acoustic stethoscopes are still the most common type they have several drawbacks. For example, they attenuate certain frequencies due to tubular resonance effects [3], and do not allow for amplification or filtering of the sound.

Electronic Stethoscope

The first electronic stethoscope was developed in 1961, but was extremely bulky and cumbersome. Originally, these models sounded very different than traditional acoustic models due to electrical interference and sensitivity to surrounding noise. Over time the sound quality has been greatly improved through the use of sound transducers, adjustable gain amplifiers, and frequency filters [4]. However, current devices are still not ideal, and generally have not been accepted by the medical community. They are very expensive, are usually wired, and only allow for one listener. Our design will address these problems, and will be specifically tailored to our client.

Frequencies in Operating Rooms

The device must not interfere with other frequencies in the operating room that could cause the equipment to malfunction. The signals that the design are sending from both the microphone and the transmitter are all under the usual signals used in most operating room machines. The microphone transmits signals anywhere from 20-100 Hz. The transmitter is slightly higher with signals ranging from 50-200 MHz. Most operating equipment deals with signals 600 Hz or greater [6]. It can be noted that “equipment operating in the WMTS bands (608 MHz to 614 MHz, 1395 MHz to 1400 MHz, and 1427 MHz to 1432 MHz) has primary status and is protected from interference by other devices.” [6]. Therefore, this eliminates the possibility of the transmitter reaching a signal that would disrupt the other equipment. Hospitals are typically worried about cell phone and other types of ultra high frequencies which pose a threat to the functionality of operating room signals.

Previous Designs

The previous design utilized a homemade chest piece with a built in microphone. The electric signal was then passed through a series of filters and amplifiers to produce a cleaner signal. A mixer was also added to adjust bass and treble. Finally, the signal was passed to an audio speaker with a headphone jack.

This semester, our team plans to simplify the whole process by utilizing a traditional acoustic chest piece instead of the makeshift one used before. Additionally, our microphone will be located in the tubing, allowing it to capture the sound as it is traditionally heard. This should eliminate the need for complex filters and amplifiers.

Design Requirements

The design of the wireless microphone system must be able to clearly identify and transmit the signals from the patients breathing. The sound quality doesn't need to be perfect because the main function of the design is to detect whether the patients breathing is being interrupted; not for diagnostic purposes. However, better sound quality will result in a more effective overall product. The receiver should detect signals as low as 50 Hz and should not interfere with other signals in the operating room (above 2000 Hz). The design should be audible to an entire room through a small speaker system. It should also have the capability for headset listening, which requires a headset plug-in on the speaker system to allow for private listening. The size of the design should be no bigger than the size of a hand. Bulkiness can cause an issue for doctors, so a smaller system would be ideal. Another aspect of the design that requires attention is the battery life. The battery life should ideally last for 20 straight hours and have a rechargeable battery. View the Product Design Specification in the Appendix for the complete requirements.

Funding

The total cost of the project must be under \$200. The funding is provided through our client, Dr Scott Springman, an anesthesiologist at the UW Hospital. The total cost of the design can easily meet the \$200 budget. The main cost will be the speaker system, which will increase in price depending how clear of quality the client requires to hear breathing sounds. The tubing, microphone, headset, and wireless transmitter/receiver are all under \$100 dollars, leaving room for late changes that may improve the design.

Final Design

For the final design, it was decided that all design requirements could be met without presenting any problems. Therefore this design is wireless, has multiple stethoscope heads, and should accurately replicate the frequency of sounds our client wishes to hear. A visualization of the appearance of the final design can be seen below.



This design will make use of readily available stethoscope heads that are intended to be hooked up to a traditional stethoscope headset. These stethoscope heads can be adhered to a patient's body using disposable adhesive strips cut into the shape of a two dimensional torus. Stethoscope heads can be easily interchanged between plastic and metal, as during some surgeries nonmagnetic materials must be employed. These adhesives are readily available from medical equipment suppliers and are currently used to attach acoustic stethoscopes to patients. The stethoscope heads are used to conduct sound waves from the body; they then transmit these sound waves down a rubber tube to the user's ears.

To convert this to a wireless system, while maintaining accurate sound reproduction, these tubes are cut shortly after they leave the stethoscope head. A microphone is then placed into the tube, along with any physical dampening or filtering material deemed necessary by future testing. This microphone will receive the sound waves and convert them to an analog electronic signal. The microphone will be selected so that it picks up all signals within the desired frequency. The current client, an anesthesiologist, needs only to hear the sound frequencies that

correspond to breathing. As these frequencies fall within standard microphone reception ranges, a standard microphone will be used in this design to conserve cost. However, if one wanted to use this wireless stethoscope to listen to the heart, a specialty microphone that could pick up the extreme low heart frequencies could be substituted into the system.


The microphone will be a component of a repurposed wireless stage microphone setup. These setups are commercially available at a reasonable cost. Each stethoscope head will be connected to its own wireless transmitter via an electrical wire connection. This allows the transmitter, a small box the size of a deck of cards, to be placed anywhere on the patient or the operating table. This flexibility of placement ensures that it will not interfere with any surgical procedures. As wireless stage setups are commonly employed with varying combinations of multiple microphones and transmitters combinations, our system will be extremely flexible in accommodating the placement of multiple stethoscope heads. Our current client is only requesting two stethoscope locations at this time, and our design reflects that by implementing two separate stethoscope units each with its own stethoscope head, microphone, and transmitter.

Once the analog electrical signal produced by the microphone is received by the transmitter it will be converted to a digital signal and broadcasted wirelessly. Commercially available transmitters use FM broadcasting at frequencies between 150 and 250 MHz to transmit the signal, allowing them to be safely used within an operating room. These transmitters will be powered by a removable battery allowing a user to replace it immediately if it runs out of charge. To conserve cost this design will employ simple alkaline batteries; however, rechargeable batteries could be substituted for future higher priced models.

The broadcasted signals will be received by a transceiver, again repurposed from a wireless stage setup. This transceiver will be capable of receiving signals from multiple transmitters. This is accomplished by setting each transmitter to a unique FM frequency; users can then cycle through each stethoscopes audio signal by changing the transceivers receiving frequency. The transceiver converts the digital FM signal and outputs an analog audio signal through a universal 3.5 mm audio cable jack. Using a standard 3.5mm audio cable the users can easily be connect this system to a speaker or a headset.


If a speaker is employed, an active component system, in which a tweeter and a woofer handle the high and low frequencies respectively, will be used to ensure accurate sound reproductions. The commercially available speakers that we will be using can reproduce frequencies from 20Hz to 20KHz. If a headset system is employed, the audio signal will be fed into a Bluetooth transmitter which will relay it to a wireless headset. Commercially available headset systems contain both the transmitter and a rechargeable headset. As this is a prototype design, speakers will be used to lower its cost.

Design Alternatives



Microphone at the end of tubing vs. microphone some distances away from chestpiece

The microphone that picks up the signal from human body can be potentially placed at two different locations: one is inside the chestpiece at the end of tubing and the other is inside the tubing, some distance away from the chestpiece of the stethoscope. The signal intelligibility and patient comfort are two major factors in deciding the position of the microphone. Putting the microphone away from the chestpiece prevents the potential contact between microphone and skin, which is more favored by patients. On the other hand, when placing the microphone certain distance from the diaphragm (roughly 5-8 cm away), there will be a significant increase of signal-to-noise ratio and reduce of signal amplitude. In order to achieve signal of good quality, a better microphone needs to be purchased. And this will increase the total cost of the project. A tube with larger diameter and thicker material has to be used in the design to fit the size of microphone as well as protect it. Incorporating the microphone at the end of tubing, close to the diaphragm would have a better signal quality since the signal passes to the microphone with a shorter distance. However, microphone needs to be adhered on the diaphragm with a certain stability to decrease the contact with skin.



Two different systems to transmit signals

In terms of transmitting and receiving the signal, two different systems could be used in the stethoscope: wireless and wire. Previous groups have been working on designing the circuit

that processes the signal and transfers it to the speakers and headphones. The cost of making such a printed circuit board would be low while a sophisticated circuit design is a must. Also, further effort in testing and troubleshooting of the circuit is required to assure the reliability of the system. The wire system also decreases the mobility of the stethoscope due to the long wire from the diaphragm to the receiver box. The wireless system significantly decreases the complexity of circuit as the transmitter and receiver can be purchased. Moreover, it can provide noise reduction, signal enhancement, and both visual and audio output.

Design Matrix

Factor	Weight	Position of microphone	
		At the end of tubing	Away from chestpiece
Signal quality	0.3	9	7
Patient comfort	0.25	7	9
Cost	0.15	8	6
Ease of attachment	0.15	7	8
Safety	0.15	8	8
Total	1.00	7.9	7.65

A design matrix above is made to decide the position of microphone placement. Five factors are listed to compare the two different microphone positions: signal quality, patient comfort, cost, ease of attachment, and safety. And each specification is assigned with a different weight. Signal quality and patient comfort are the two most important factors thus they have weight of 0.3 and 0.25 respectively. A score from 1 to 10 is assigned to each of design alternatives in each category. Placing the microphone close to the diaphragm has a good signal quality (9), low cost (8) while it is hard to attach (7) may make patient less comfortable (7). Putting the microphone inside the tubing away from the diaphragm is more comfortable for patient (9) while it has poor signal quality (7) and cost more (6).

Factor	Weight	Signal transmit method	
		Wireless	Wire
Signal quality	0.2	9	7

Cost	0.2	7	8
Mobility	0.2	9	6
Accuracy	0.15	8	8
Reliability	0.15	9	8
Safety	0.1	8	8
Total	1.00	8.35	7.4

A design matrix above is made to decide the signal transmit method. Six factors are listed to compare the two different microphone positions: signal quality, cost, mobility, accuracy, reliability, and safety. And each specification is assigned with a different weight. The wireless system has better signal quality (9), high reliability (9), and high mobility (9) while The wire system is cheaper (8) to build. Overall, the wireless system scores higher (8.35) and is favored in final design of electronic stethoscope.

Future Work

As our design currently only exists in writing, our first order of work following this presentation will be to purchase all necessary components and began construction of a working prototype. The needed components have already been identified and can be seen below in the following invoice.

PARTS INVOICE

Part Description	Part #	Manufacturer	Amount	Cost
Stethoscope Chest Piece	00-390-A	AINCA	2	Donated
Tubing for Use with Chest Piece	P-151	AINCA	2	Donated
Microphone	GM-W62	Gem Sound	2	Free with Transceiver
FM Transmitter	GM-W62	Gem Sound	2	Free with Transceiver
FM Transceiver	GM-W62	Gem Sound	1	\$90
Active Component Speaker	ET-AR504LR-BK	Eagle	1	\$60

TOTAL COST: \$150

Once these components arrive, they will be assembled and tested by the design team. Testing will ensure that the correct sounds are reproduced and that no necessary acoustic information is lost in the wireless transmission. At this stage of testing it will be decided if any necessary filtering for the system is needed. This filtering may range from mechanical methods, such as foam dampening of sound waves within the rubber tube, to digital methods, such as putting a digital mixer inline between the transceiver and the speaker system.

Once our system is fully working and up to our client's specifications, future research will look into possible improvements of the device. As mentioned previously, our current design employs a microphone that will not pick up the low frequencies produced by the heart. As the system is extremely flexible in regards to receiving multiple audio signals, there is no reason besides the current budget to not design a stethoscope head and microphone specifically for listening to heart sounds. This could be used in conjunction with our current prototype to monitor both the breathing and heartbeat of the patient.

Another improvement that can be made to the system is the replacement of the traditional alkaline battery within the transmitter with a rechargeable li-ion battery. Again this should be an easily achievable improvement, and would have been implemented into our prototype except the cost was too high. This battery would still be removable so that in the case it dies during surgery, it could be easily replaced with a fully charged battery.

Once all possible modifications, refinements, and improvements have been made a sleek final prototype will be produced. This prototype will then be tested in an operating room environment to ensure that it has no interference with current equipment. Once it has passed this test, further testing will be used to compare it with a standard acoustic stethoscope. Preceding its use in actual surgical situations, it must be conclusively determined that this prototype is an acceptable replacement of the standard acoustic stethoscope. Once this has been ascertained, patents for the device may be pursued. After obtaining a patent, it can be either sold or licensed to a company that specialized in stethoscope manufacturing.

References

[1] Leyden, J. (2001, May/June). The Chance Invention that Changed Medicine. Saturday Evening Post, pp. 46-73.

[2] Standris Medical Supply, Inc. (2011). History of Stethoscopes. Retrieved October 23, 2011, from Standris Medical Supply, Inc.: http://www.standris.com/education_history.cfm

[3] Lambe, M. (2009, October 27). How Does the Stethoscope Work. Retrieved October 23, 2011, from Livestrong.com: [http://www.livestrong.com/article/30071-stethoscope work/](http://www.livestrong.com/article/30071-stethoscope-work/)

[4] Grenier, M.C., Gagnon, K., Genest Jr., J., Durand, J., & Durand, L.G. (1998, March 1). Clinical Comparison of Acoustic and Electronic Stethoscopes and Design of a New Electronic Stethoscope. The American Journal of Cardiology, pp. 653-656.

[5] Griffiths, D. J. (2008, September 26). Development of Ionic Polymer Metallic Composites as Sensors. Retrieved October 23, 2011, from .docstoc: http://www.docstoc.com/docs/6678426/David_J_Griffiths_MSME_Thesispdf

[6] "Radio Frequency Interference in Hospitals." 12 July 2011. Anritsu.com. 26 February 2013. <http://www.anritsu.com/en-US/Downloads/Application-Notes/Application-Note/DWL9046.aspx>

APPENDIX

Product Design Specifications

Problem Statement:

In every surgical procedure, anesthesiologists need to listen to the patient breathing sounds while they are asleep to ensure the safety of the patient. Manual stethoscopes, which have been used for years, are sufficient but cause discomfort to the listener and do not provide a wide range of activities to be done simultaneously. There are a few electronic stethoscopes in the market today, but it is too large and expensive for practical purposes. In order to improve upon the existing device, an efficient power system must be found that can maximize the life span of the device. Ideally, changes should be made for a small wireless system, as well as a main receiver with a speaker for multiple listeners and a wireless headset for private listening.

Client Requirements:

- One high-quality microphone
- Microphones should be attachable using standard medical adhesive
- The size of the stethoscope head should be no larger than the regular ones used
- Option for headphone or speaker listening
- Main receiver should be as small as possible without effecting sound quality
- Should be able to detect frequencies of the patient breathing
- Battery powered to last ideally 15 hours
- Cost efficient
- Must be able to withstand long term storage at room temperature
- Cleanable with disinfectant wipes

Design Requirements:

1. Performance Requirements: Must accurately convey breathing at correct frequencies and appropriate amplification. Must be able to easily and quickly switch between headphone and speaker listening functions. The device also should not cause the physician trouble due to its size.
2. Safety: The device must not endanger or contaminate the patient on which it is being used in any way or cause danger to the person who is operating it. This includes not interfering with signals of other operating machines in the room.
3. Accuracy and Reliability: See Performance Requirements. The frequency and amplification must be accurate enough to detect problems in the patients' breathing pattern.

4. Life in Service: The device must not degrade or become unreliable for up to 10 years of usage, assuming correct precautions in cleaning and protection of electronics are taken by the owner. Battery life should be at least 15 hours.
5. Shelf Life: The prototype should not degrade over time in storage for at least 10 years.
6. Operating Environment: The device must be able to operate reliably in a hospital operating room. The device should not come into contact with blood or liquids during procedures, but if it does for a short period of time it must be ensured that the patient or physician will not be hurt.
7. Ergonomics: The receiving station with speakers and the transmitter should not have loose components or any physical components that could cause harm, and the volume adjustment for the speakers should be easy to use. The two microphones should comfortably, yet securely, attach to the patients' neck. The device interface and its connection should not obstruct or obscure the use of the stethoscope.
8. Size: The receiver with the speaker should be no larger than the size of a hand and the microphones should be of comparable size to a stethoscope head. The transmitter should be able to attach directly to the physician or patient.
9. Weight: Must be easily to carry to different rooms by the physician.
10. Materials: The materials used should be safe for use around humans. They should meet standards for surgical use, such as being non-abrasive, non-toxic, non-radioactive, non-flammable, and non-corrosive. The materials should be easily disinfected by use of cleaning wipes.
11. Appearance: The device should be aesthetically pleasing, with a smooth, clean finish. All wires should be properly concealed within their respective devices.

User Specifications

1. Intended Use: The client will not be using the device for diagnostic purposes. It will be used to monitor a patient's breathing during surgical procedures and as a result, only needs to be able to detect a breathing frequencies.
2. Frequency Range: Because the device will not be used to diagnose heart abnormalities, the prototype does not need to detect frequencies below 100 Hz. In order to limit interference from other devices in the operating room, the highest frequency reached should be 2000 Hz.
3. Sound Quality: The sound quality should be sufficient enough to determine that the respiratory system is functioning normally. This means filtering out interference from other operating room machinery. The client also noted that since it was not being used for diagnostic purposes, sound quality as clear as that found in a traditional stethoscope is not necessary.
4. Volume: Since the device will be used in a standard operating room, the biggest concern with volume level is whether it can be heard over the sounds of the other operating equipment present. The device should not be too loud to disrupt any conversation in the room, but also needs to be heard over other machinery.
5. Power: The main receiver and speaker box portion of the prototype can be powered via a wall outlet. The individual microphones should be battery powered.

Product Characteristics

1. Quantity: One fully functional prototype is required at this time.
2. Target Product Cost: The target manufacturing cost for the product is no more than \$200.00, which includes microphones, receiver, speakers, tubing and headphones.

Miscellaneous

1. Standards and Specifications: The device as a whole will need FDA approval because it is a medical device that has the possibility to be used on humans. Customer: The product should follow the client's requirements for the headphone and speaker interface, while ideally having two wireless microphones.
2. Patient Related Concerns: The device will come in direct contact with the patient. Therefore, the device must be safe for the patient's skin and not cause harm in any way to the patient's overall health. Regular adhesive used by the physician will ensure that the patient is not harmed.
3. Competition: There are currently a handful of similar devices on the market. However, none are optimal for our client's needs due to their excessive cost and bulkiness.